#### Feeling the Invisible: Probing Quantum Interference at the Single-molecule Level

Sriharsha V. Aradhya Prof. Latha Venkataraman Group



# Introduction



Tonomura et al., Am. J. Phys., 1989

# Background – Theory



- Several prediction schemes motivated by tight binding models
- More sophisticated DFT treatments exhibit interesting microscopic phenomena
- New types of molecular devices
- How to test these predictions?

Markussen et al., Nano Lett, 2010 Solomon et al., J Chem Phys, 2008 Solomon et al., Nat Chem, 2010 Cardamone et al., Nano Lett, 2006

#### **EXPERIMENTS**

# Background – Experiment



- I-V study of meta and para substituted anthracene
  - Current levels are very different
- High voltage range applied, lowbias conductance unknown
- Other contributions to current?
- What about binding probabilities?
- What about binding geometries?

Figure 2. 1/U characteristics reproducibly recorded for a stable junction in a MCB and their numerical derivative dI/dU. a) 1/U and b) dI/dU for Au-2'-Au at room temperature. c) 1/U and d) dI/dU for Au-1'-Au at room temperature and e) 1/U and f) dI/dU at  $T \approx 30$  K.

### Need for a rigorous experiment



- Design molecules such that only a **few** things are **reliably** changed (benzene derivatives are not the best)
- Measuring only low-bias conductance gives null result for interference, so measure additional property
- Verify that binding isn't altered by the changes

# How do we dissect interference effects from extraneous factors?

### Force and conductance



Molecule	Structure	Conductance (G <sub>0</sub> )	Bond Rupture Force (nN)	
			Experiment	Theory
1,4 Benzene- diamine	H2N NH2	6.2×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.53±0.09	0.46
1,4 Butane- diamine	H <sub>2</sub> NNH <sub>2</sub>	9.0×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.69±0.06	0.84
1,6 Hexane- diamine	H <sub>2</sub> NNH <sub>2</sub>	<b>1.1</b> ×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.62±0.09	N/A
4,4' Bipyridine	N N	<b>1.0</b> ×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.80±0.08	1.00

- 1. Simultaneous measurement of force and conductance
- 2. Sensitive to both linker group, as well as molecular backbone
- Information about junction mechanics, even in the absence of conductance features\*
- An independent analysis of force holds is an attractive way to study destructive interference at the single molecule level

#### "Feeling the invisible"

# TECHNIQUE

#### **Experimental Setup**



### **Experimental details**





- Au coated cantilever and Au coated mica substrate
- Piezo moved to elongate the junction
- Force is reported by the reflected laser beam
- Molecules deposited as a solution

### Analysis



#### Sample traces



- In the absence of molecules, Au junctions are formed and ruptured
- In the presence of conducting para linked molecule, there is a clear molecular conductance plateau, along with a complex structural evolution signature in force
- In the case of the meta linked molecule, there is no clear conductance plateau but there are still force signatures, ending with a rupture event

#### Analysis technique



- 1. Locate G<sub>0</sub> rupture
- 2. Identify all subsequent force events with **sustained**, **significant** events
- 3. Pick last force event
- 4. Center traces at the last force event

#### Analysis technique



- Characteristic shape in individual force traces load and rupture
- Shape preserved when thousands of traces are statistically averaged – 2D force histogram
- 2D conductance histogram conductance is also aligned

#### Analysis technique



- Define elongation length as the distance from G<sub>0</sub> rupture to molecular junction rupture – irrespective of conductance signature
- Elongation length is a measure of the junction mechanics, and for other series of molecules it has scaled with molecule length

# **RESULTS: CONDUCTANCE, FORCE & ELONGATION LENGTH**

#### Conductance – 2D and profile



- Zero displacement (dashed line) is the rupture location
- Conductance rupture is automatically aligned, even though it wasn't imposed
- Result # 1 meta molecule <u>does not</u> show a clear conductance signature in the 2D histogram

#### Conductance – 2D and profile



- Line profiles of the conductance before rupture ("1D histograms") show well defined peaks for 1 and 2
- There is a slight shoulder for the molecule **3**
- Result # 2 This is due to non-zero contribution of  $\sigma$  channel, through-space tunneling and possibly dispersive interactions

Force – 2D and profile



- Force profiles shows a loading followed by rupture mirrors individual traces
- In fact, all three molecules show very similar force profiles, and rupture force is 0.5 nN in each case
- Result # 3 Since changes to the molecule are happening farther from the S-Au bond, the donor-acceptor interaction is not altered significantly

# **Elongation lengths**



- Elongation length distributions can be fitted with a Gaussian curve with a well-defined mean
- Elongation lengths scale with S S distance
- Result # 4 binding geometry is not significantly different

# **DFT MO calculation**



- A simple DFT structure + Molecular Orbital calculation is performed to visualize the origin of these observations (using Jaguar, Dr Steigerwald)
- Result # 5 The HOMO clearly allows us to visualize the presence or absence of communication between the two S atoms

# **DFT MO calculation**



- In the para molecule, both S atoms have significant amplitude of HOMO
- In the meta molecule, the HOMO spreads out on the conjugated bridge, but there is no amplitude on the S atom at the other end
- In the saturated molecule, the HOMO becomes localized to one ring
- Result # 5 The HOMO clearly allows us to visualize the presence or absence of communication between the two S atoms

# Conclusion

- Successfully able to analyze force, independent of the molecular conductance signature
- Studied a reliable set of molecules to test the interference hypothesis
- The S-Au bond is not significantly altered junctions are indeed formed, and have the same rupture force for each
- Direct proof of destructive interference at the single-molecule level

Quantum interference due to elegantly designed molecules may open the possibility of unique new functions in single molecule devices

#### Acknowledgements

Jeff Meisner Markerete Krikorian Dr. Seokhoon Ahn Radha Parameswaran **Prof. Mike Steigerwald** Prof. Colin Nuckolls Prof. Latha Venkataraman