

Preliminary Results of Plasma Shaping on the HBT-EP Tokamak

Patrick Byrne

with the HBT-EP Group:

S. Angelini, J. Bialek, P.J. Byrne, B.A. DeBono, P. Hughes, B. Li, M.E. Mauel, G.A. Navratil, Q. Peng, N. Rath, D. Rhodes, D. Shiraki, and C. Stoafer

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

APAM Research Conference 4/6/11



- Motivation
- Magnetic Geometry
- The HBTEP Shaping Coil
- Control Coil Shaping
- Summary



Motivation

- Magnetic Geometry
- The HBTEP Shaping Coil
- Control Coil Shaping
- Summary



- Any fusion plasma will need to be shaped
 - Fusion plasma heat load too high for material limiter
- Shaping has been shown or predicted to reduce severity of many plasma instabilities (ELMs, ITG, Sawteeth)





- Motivation
- Magnetic Geometry
- The HBTEP Shaping Coil
- Control Coil Shaping
- Summary

HBTEP's Magnetic Geometry



- Electromagnets create toroidal field and plasma current
- Plasma current creates a poloidal field.
- Plasma elements are "frozen" to field lines by Lorentz forces
- Magnetic fields (and therefore plasma) lie on closed, nested, toroidal surfaces





- Plasma elements travel along surfaces, following magnetic field lines
- Magnetic "pitch" $q \equiv \frac{rB_{\varphi}}{RB_{\theta}}$
- Plasma modes have fourier breakdown of $e^{i((n\varphi m\theta) \omega t)}$
- Surfaces with rational q (n/m) subject to resonant instabilities
 - Similar to how shape of bell determines resonant tones
 - Changing shape should change the resonant behavior





- 236 in-vessel sensors.
- High fidelity resolution of toroidal and poloidal modes
- Can resolve poloidal and radial components of modes



Magnetic Resonances



- Instabilities can occur on any surface with $q = \frac{m}{n}$
- HBT q varies from 4-1, higher m/n numbers are more stable for same q





- Magnetic Geometry
- The HBTEP Shaping Coil
- Control Coil Shaping
- Summary



• Plasma surfaces can be affected by external currents.





- Three serially connected cable loops
 - Edge bundles counterwound from the central cable bundle
- Central bundle has twice the number of windings for zero net turns.
- Very low coupling at long distances
 - Minimal interaction with other magnetics, L ~10-20µH





- The coil will be wound around the high-field side of HBTEP at an angle of ~25 degrees above the high field side midplane.
- This setup will allow the creation of local edge effects, while leaving the bulk plasma unperturbed



Fig. 1 – Flux surfaces for a weakly and fully shaped plasma. The red circle represents the last closed flux surface of an unshaped plasma



- 15° separation between coils
- Coil current ~11kA (15kA max)





- Magnetic Geometry
- The HBTEP Shaping Coil
- Control Coil Shaping
- Summary

HBTEP Can Already Magnetically Influence The Plasma



• 120 Feedback coils (3 sets of 40)



HBT Control Coils



- 120 Feedback coils (3 sets of 40)
- Can apply wide spectrum of magnetic perturbations
- Can be used to excite or suppress magnetic modes, or affect the shape of magnetic surfaces





- Large control coils cover 15° toroidal angle.
- If fired with equal but opposite currents, nearby fields look similar to shaping coil
- Discrete approximation to shaping coil







Unshaped n=1, m=3 mode activity



HBT-EP











- Fusion-relevant plasma experiments (ITER, DEMO) will have noncircular cross-section
- The shaping coil will allow us to gain insight into multimode instabilities in the presence of shaping.
 - Degree of shaping arbitrary, including diverted operation
- Experiments have indicated significant effects for a minor degree of plasma shaping







